FILED

KERN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 01/06/2022

BY Urena, Veronica **DEPUTY**

MELMED LAW GROUP, P.C.

Jonathan Melmed (SBN 290218)

jm@melmedlaw.com

Laura M. Supanich (SBN 314805)

lms@melmedlaw.com

1801 Century Park East, Suite 850

Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone: (310) 824-3828 Facsimile: (310) 862-6851

Attorneys for Plaintiffs, the Putative Class and Aggrieved Employees

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

3

4

5

6

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN

DULCE MARIA SANTACRUZ SANCHEZ and SALUD SANTACRUZ. individuals on behalf of themselves, the State of California, as private attorneys general, and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOLDEN LABOR SERVICES, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company; PACIFIC AG MANAGEMENT, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 TO 50,

Defendants.

Case No. BCV-20-102729

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS **ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Date: January 6, 2022

Time: 8:30 a.m.

Dept: 11

Judge: Hon. J. Eric Bradshaw

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of a Class Action Settlement came before this Court on January 6, 2022, in Department 11, the Honorable J. Eric Bradshaw presiding. The Court having considered the papers submitted in support of the application of the parties, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Release of Class Action (the "Settlement Agreement") attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Jonathan Melmed in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement. The settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class, and the Court preliminarily approves the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including, without limitation:
 - a. A non-reversionary Gross Settlement Amount of \$875,000.00;
 - b. The Class Representative enhancement payment to the named Plaintiffs of \$7,500.00 each, for a total of \$15,000.00;
 - c. Court approved attorneys' fees to Class Counsel of up to \$291,666.67, representing one-third of the Gross Settlement Amount;
 - d. Court approved litigation costs to Class Counsel of up to \$9,000.00;
 - e. Fees and Costs of the Settlement Administrator of up to \$20,000.00; and
 - f. A PAGA allocation of \$25,000.00, with \$37,500.00 (i.e., 75%) payable to the California Labor & Workforce Development Agency for its portion of the PAGA penalties.
- 2. This Court has considered the papers in support of the Motion and the Settlement Agreement and finds that the, pursuant to C.R.C. Rule 3.769(d), proposed Class should be certified for settlement purposes only. Specifically, the Court finds for settlement purposes only that the proposed Class: (a) is ascertainable; (b) is sufficiently numerous; (c) meets the commonality requirements; (d) the claims of the Class Representatives are typical of the claims of the proposed Class Members; (e) Class Representatives' counsel has and is able to adequately represent the

proposed Class; (f) the Class Representative is adequate to represent the Class; and (g) class-wide treatment of this dispute is superior to individual litigation because common issues predominate over individual issues for settlement purposes.

- 3. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final fairness hearing and final approval by this Court.
- 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed Settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, and the Class Representative's enhancement award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Class is scheduled on the date and time set forth in the implementation schedule in Paragraph 13 below.
- 5. This Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice"), in substantially the form attached to the herein as **Exhibit 1**. The Court approves the procedure for Class Members to participate in, to opt out of, and to object to, the Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 6. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the Class Members in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below. The Court finds the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Notice, as set forth in the Implementation Schedule, meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 7. It is ordered that the Settlement Class is preliminarily certified for settlement purposes only.
- 8. The Court preliminary certifies for settlement purposes only the Settlement Class defined as follows: *all individuals who are or were employed as non-exempt employees by Defendants in California at any time during the Class Period. See* Settlement Agreement, at §1.41. The Class Period is defined as November 20, 2016, through the Preliminary Approval Date. *See* Settlement Agreement, at §1.10.
- 9. All Settlement Class members who do not timely opt out will release any and all claims, debts, liabilities, demands, obligations, actions, causes of action, guarantees, liens,

unlawful business practices in violation of California's Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 17200–17210); (14) penalties pursuant to the PAGA; (15) all other statutory penalties, including those recoverable under the California Unfair Competition Act, and in particular, California Bus. & Prof. Code Sections 17200, et seq., California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5; and any other provision of the California Labor Code, including but not limited to Labor Code Sections 2698, et seq., or any Applicable Wage Order, in all their iterations; (16) all claims that were alleged in the Action or that could have been brought based on the facts alleged in the Action; and (17) all claims for liquidated damages, penalties, interest, fees, costs based on the foregoing (collectively, the "Released Claims"). The Released Claims include any unknown claims that the Releasing Parties do not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor, which if known by him or her, might have affected this Settlement Agreement with Defendants and the release of the Released Parties. However, the Released Claims only include all claims alleged in the Action or that could have been set forth based on the facts alleged in the Action to the fullest extent permitted by law.

- 10. The Court confirms Plaintiffs Dulce Maria Santacruz Sanchez and Salud Santacruz as Class Representative, and Jonathan Melmed and Laura M. Supanich of Melmed Law Group P.C. as Class Counsel.
 - 11. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 12. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final approval, the Court hereby enjoins Plaintiff and all Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceedings (including filing claims with the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement of the California Department of Industrial Relations) regarding claims released by the Settlement, unless and until such Class Members have filed valid Requests for Exclusion with the Settlement Administrator and the time for filing claims with the Settlement Administrator has elapsed.
 - 13. The Court orders the following **Implementation Schedule** for further proceedings:

a.	Deadline for Defendant to Submit Class Member Information to Settlement Administrator	[within 14 days after the Preliminary Approval Date]
b.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to Mail Notice to Class Members	[within 28 days after the Preliminary Approval Date]
c.	Deadline for Class Members to Postmark Requests for Exclusion	[45 days after mailing of the Class Notice]
d.	Deadline for Class Members to submit any Objections to Settlement	[45 days after mailing of the Class Notice]
e.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to file Declaration of Due Diligence and Proof of Mailing	[7 days prior to Final Approval and Fairness Hearing]
f.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file Motion for Final Approval of Settlement, including Request for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Enhancement Award	[16 Court days prior to Final Approval and Fairness Hearing]
g.	Final Approval and Fairness Hearing (the Court's first available date after May 15, 2022)	<u>May 24</u> , 2022 at <u>8:30</u> a.m./ p.m .

- 14. If any of the dates in this Implementation Schedule falls on a weekend, bank or court holiday, the time to act shall be extended to the next business day.
- 15. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Action for all purposes pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769 and California Rule of Civil Procedure § 664.6 to enforce the terms of the Settlement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 01/06/2022 Signed: 1/6/2022 11:22 AM Hon. J. Eric Bradshaw

Judge of the Superior Court, Kern County